



Docket No.: 240886US3

-

NEUSTADT

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GREGORY J. MAIER (703) 413-3000 GMAIER@OBLON.COM

KEVIN M. MCKINLEY (703) 413-3000

KMCKINLEY@OBLON.COM

OBLON

SPIVAK

McClelland

MAIER

P.C.

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/634,784

Applicants: Makoto KAWAGUCHI, et al.

Filing Date: August 6, 2003

For: STRETCH LABEL ATTACHING DEVICE AND

METHOD FOR ATTACHING STRETCH LABEL

Group Art Unit: 1732

Examiner: EDMUND H. LEE

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

Response to Restriction Requirement

Our check in the amount of -0- is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

SURINDER SACHAR
REGISTRATION NO. 34,423

. 2

berurde Sochos

Kevin M. McKinley Registration No. 43,794

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax)

I:\ATTY\KMM\PROSECUTION WORK\240886\SHORT TRANSMITTAL.DOC

1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 U.S.A.
TELEPHONE: 703-413-3000 FACSIMILE: 703-413-2220 WWW.OBLON.COM

DOCKET NO: 240886US3 IMPROVED TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY O

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

:

MAKOTO KAWAGUCHI, ET AL.

: EXAMINER: LEE, EDMUND H

SERIAL NO: 10/634,784

-

FILED: AUGUST 6, 2003

: GROUP ART UNIT: 1732

FOR: STRETCH LABEL ATTACHING

DEVICE AND METHOD FOR ATTACHING STRETCH LABEL

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Official Action mailed December 19, 2005, Applicants provisionally elect, with traverse, Group I, Claims 1-20, for further examination on the merits in the present application.

Applicant respectfully traverses the Restriction requirement because the PTO has not carried forward its burden of proof to establish distinctness.

In particular, MPEP § 803 states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area.

Application No. 10/634,784 Reply to Office Action of December 19, 2005

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully traverses the outstanding Election requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory J. Maier

Attorney of Record

Registration No. 25,599

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04) K

Kevin M. McKinley SURINDER SACHAR REGISTRATION NO. 34,423 Registration No. 43,794

burch Jacker

GJM:KMM:law

I:\aTTY\KMM\PROSECUTION WORK\240886\240886US-AM.DOC